

## **Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium**

Bill # HB0524    Frimary Sponsor:   Reinhart, Michele   Status:   As Introduced				_			
Primary Sponsor: Reinhart, Michele  Status: As Introduced  Significant Local Gov Impact  Needs to be included in HB 2  Included in the Executive Budget  FISCAL SUMMARY FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 Difference Difference  Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Rill #	HR0524			-	ements for county	
□ Significant Local Gov Impact □ Needs to be included in HB 2 □ Technical Concerns □ Included in the Executive Budget □ Significant Long-Term Impacts □ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached  FISCAL SUMMARY FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 Difference Difference  Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0  Revenue:	ДШ π	1100324		Title. privat	ization of services		
☐ Included in the Executive Budget ☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached  FISCAL SUMMARY FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 Difference Difference Difference  Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0  Revenue:	Primary Sponsor:	Reinhart, Michele		Status: As Int	roduced		
FISCAL SUMMARY FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 Difference Difference Difference Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0  Revenue:	☐ Significant	Local Gov Impact	☐ Needs to be inclu	uded in HB 2	☐ Technical Concerns		
FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013  Difference Difference Difference  Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0  Revenue:	☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-	Term Impacts	☐ Dedicated Revenue F	Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
<u>Difference</u> <u>Difference</u> <u>Difference</u> <u>Difference</u> Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0  Revenue:			FISCAL S	SUMMARY			
Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0  Revenue:			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Expenditures: General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0  Revenue:			Difference	Difference	Difference	Difference	
General Fund \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 <b>Revenue:</b>							
Revenue:	Expenditures:						
	-		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
General Fund 50 50 50 50	General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Net Impact-General Fund Balance \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	General Fund		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	

**<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u>** This bill has no fiscal impact to the state.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:**

## **Montana Association of Counties (MACO)**

- 1. Costs would only be incurred if a county were to consider the privatization of selective, unspecified services. The privatization plan for the most part would include identification of certain factors which are things that would normally be done as a part of a due diligence process in making a determination whether or not to privatize any selected service. There is no means to estimate how many services might be considered for privatization by a county therefore no means to estimate total costs. An arbitrary estimate of time involved in developing each plan would be 20-40 hours. Using an arbitrary cost per hour of \$25 for staff and associated benefits would yield an estimated cost of \$500 \$1,000 each.
- 2. The bill does not define which services are "currently or normally" provided by county employees leaving open to interpretation which services would be included and would vary from county to county.

		<u> </u>	
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date